

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

ARMAND CALHOUN, et al.,

CASE NO. C21-1613JLR

Plaintiffs,

AMENDED SHOW CAUSE ORDER

BANK OF AMERICA NA,

Defendant.

Before the court are: (1) *pro se* Plaintiff Armand Calhoun’s application to proceed *ma pauperis* (“IFP”) (IFP Application (Dkt. # 1)); and (2) Mr. Calhoun and *pro se* Plaintiff Robert Flores’s (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) proposed complaint (Compl. (Dkt. # 1)).¹ The court notified Mr. Calhoun on December 1, 2021 that his IFP application “[u]nsigned/[b]lank/[i]ncomplete” and instructed him to submit the correct form to

¹ This order amends the court's January 4, 2022 show cause order (1/4/22 Show Cause Order (Dkt. # 12) (listing the response deadline as February 4, 2022)) by correcting the date that Plaintiffs' must respond to the court's show cause order by.

1 the court by January 3, 2022. (*See* Notice (Dkt. # 3).) It also notified him that Mr. Flores
2 did not file a separate IFP application and did not sign the proposed complaint. (*See id.*
3 (requiring Mr. Calhoun to file the properly signed complaint, in accordance with Federal
4 Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and Local Civil Rule 83.2 by December 15, 2021).) Plaintiffs
5 have neither filed a corrected IFP application for Mr. Calhoun and a separate IFP
6 application for Mr. Flores nor paid the \$400.00 filing fee. (*See generally* Dkt.) They
7 have also failed to file a corrected proposed complaint signed by both Mr. Flores and Mr.
8 Calhoun.² (*See generally id.*)

9 Accordingly, Mr. Calhoun is ORDERED to show cause why his IFP application
10 should not be denied, and Plaintiffs are ORDERED to show cause why their case should
11 not be dismissed. Plaintiffs must respond to this Show Cause Order by January 19, 2022.
12 Alternatively, Plaintiffs may, before January 19, 2022, (1) either pay the \$400.00 filing
13 fee or submit both a corrected IFP application for Mr. Calhoun and a separate IFP
14 application for Mr. Flores and (2) file a corrected proposed complaint signed by both Mr.
15 Flores and Mr. Calhoun. Failure to timely respond will result in dismissal of this action
16 without prejudice. Moreover, the court will not consider any additional filings by
17 Plaintiffs until they cure the deficiencies stated above.

18 //

19 //

20

21

² Because Mr. Calhoun is proceeding *pro se* and is not otherwise eligible to appear as counsel for Mr. Flores, *see* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.1-2, Mr. Flores must personally sign the proposed complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

1 Dated this 10th day of January, 2022.

2
3
4



5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

JAMES L. ROBART
United States District Judge